Class II challenge

Clinical solutions to common problems when placing Class II direct composites

By Robert Lowe, DDS, FAGD, FICD, FADI, FACD

Direct composite restorations that involve posterior proximal surfaces are still a common finding in many dental patients. Unlike dental amalgam, which can be a very forgiving material technically and can be condensed against a matrix band to create a proximal contact, proper placement of composite restorative materials presents a unique set of challenges for the operative dentist.

The adhesion process itself is well understood by most clinicians as far as isolation and execution, however, there are some steps in the placement process that cause difficulty and ultimately lead to a less than desirable end result.

In this article we will look at three specific areas: management of the soft tissue in the interproximal region; creation of proximal contour and contact; finishing and polishing of the restoration.

Management of the interproximal gingival tissue
The most common area for the adhesion process to fail is the proximal gingival margin. Compounding this problem is the inability to gain access to the area to effect a repair without removal of the entire restoration.

As stated by Dr. Ron Jackson, bonded restorations are unique in that minor defects (decay or microleakage) at the marginal interface can often be “renewed,” or repaired by removal of the affected tooth structure and repaired with additional composite restorative material.

Because of the bond of the restorative material to enamel and dentin, the recurrence is usually self-limiting. This is not true with metallic restorations that are not bonded to tooth structure. However, if the defective area is at the proximal gingival margin or line angle, access is not possible.

Therefore, precise marginal adaptation of the direct composite restorative material and the seal of this margin in the absence of moisture or subcutaneous fluid contamination is of paramount importance.

However, whether due to the subgingival level of decay and/or gingival inflammation, it can be difficult to seal the gingival margin with a matrix in the presence of blood.

Proximal contact and contour
Another challenge for the dentist has always been to re-create contact to the adjacent tooth and, at the same time, restore proper interproximal anatomic form given the limitations of conventional matrix systems.

The thickness of the matrix band and the ability to compress the proximal ligaments of the teeth being restored and the one adjacent to it can sometimes make the restoration of proximal tooth contact arduous at best.

Anatomically, the posterior proximal surface is convex occlusally and concave gingivally. The proximal contact is elliptical in the buccolingual direction and located approximately one millimeter apical to the height of the marginal ridge.

As the surface of the tooth processes gingivally from the contact point toward the cemento-enamel junction, a concavity exists that houses the interproximal papilla.

Conventional matrix systems are made of thin, flat metallic strips that are placed circumferentially around the tooth to be restored and affixed with some sort of retaining device.

While contact with the adjacent tooth can be made with a circumferential matrix band, it is practically impossible to re-create the natural convex/concave anatomy of the posterior proximal surface because of the inherent limitations of these systems.

Attempts to “shape” or “burnish” matrix bands with elliptical instrumentation may help create nonanatomic contact, but only “distorts” or “indents” the hand and does not re-create complete natural interproximal contours.

Without the support of tooth contour, the interproximal papilla may not completely fill the gingival embrasure, leading to potential food traps and areas for excess plaque accumulation. Direct Class II composite restorations can present even more of a challenge to place for the dentist because of the inability of resin materials to be compressed against a matrix to the same degree as amalgam, making it difficult to create a proximal contact.

Finishing and polishing composite restorations
Direct composite material does not carve like amalgam, although many clinicians wish that it did! Unfortunately, this means that most posterior composites are carved with a bur.

This is not part of the finishing and polishing of the restoration. It must be remembered that cuspal forms are convex and cannot be carved with a convex rotary instrument that impacts a concave surface to the restorative material.

Composite should be incrementally placed and sculpted to proper occlusal form prior to light curing. The finishing and polishing process is done to accomplish precise marginal adaptation and make minor occlusal adjustments.

Rubber abrasives further refine the surface of the composite, and surface sealants are used to gain additional marginal seal beyond the limitations of our instrumentation.

Case report
The patient shown in Figure 1 presented with radiographic decay on the mesial proximal surface of tooth No. 3. The operative area is isolated using an OptiDAM (Kerr Hawe). The decay is minimal, so the operative plan is to keep the preparation very conservative.

After removal of the decay and completion of the proximal and occlusal cavity form, the operative area is isolated with a rubber dam in preparation for the restorative process. Figure 2 clearly shows that the proximal gingival tissue was abraded during cavity preparation and there is evidence of hemorrhage.

It is not advisable to try and “wash” the hemorrhage away with water and quickly apply the matrix band.

Even if this is successful, it is
likely that blood will infiltrate into the preparation in the gingival area and make etching and placement of the dentin bonding adhesive without contamination impossible.

An excellent way to manage the proximal tissue hemorrhage quickly and completely is to apply Expa-syl (Ker) to the area, tap it to place with a dry cotton pellet, and wait one to two minutes (Fig. 3).

Using an air-water mixture, rinse away the Expa-syl leaving a little bit of the material on top of the tissue, but below the gingival margin of the preparation (Fig. 4). The Expa-syl will deflect the tissue away from the preparation margin, maintain control of any hemorrhage and facilitate placement of the proximal matrix without the risk of contamination of the operative field.

Class II preparations that need a matrix band for restoration will require rebuilding of the marginal ridge, proximal contact and often a large portion of the interproximal surface.

The goal of composite placement is to do so in such a way that the amount of rotary instrumentation for contouring and finishing is limited. This is especially true for the interproximal surface.

Because of the constraints of clinical access to the proximal area, it is extremely difficult to sculpt and correctly contour this surface of the restoration. Proper reconstitution of this surface is largely due to the shape of the matrix band and the accuracy of its placement.

After removal of caries and old restorative material, the outline form of the cavity preparation is assessed. If any portion of the proximal contact remains, it does not necessarily need to be removed. Conserve as much healthy, unaffected tooth structure as possible.

If the matrix band cannot be easily positioned through the remaining contact, the contact can be lightened using a Fine Diamond Strip (DS25F, Komet USA). The Composi-Tight 3D™ Matrix System was chosen to aid in the anatomic restoration of the mesial proximal tooth morphology of this maxillary first molar.

The appropriate matrix band chosen is one that will best correspond anatomically to the tooth being restored, and also to the width and height of the proximal surface.

The height of the sectional matrix should be no higher than the adjacent marginal ridge when properly placed. Because of the concave anatomic shape, the proximal contact will be located approximately one millimeter apical to the height of the marginal ridge.

The Composi-Tight Matrix Forceps are used to place the selected sectional matrix band in the correct orientation in the proximal area. The positive grip of this instrument will allow for more exact placement than a cotton plier, which could damage or crimp the matrix band.

The sectional matrix band (Garison Dental Solutions) is positioned...
and placed using the Composi-Tight Matrix Forceps to the mesial proximal area of tooth No. 14 (Fig. 5).

The orientation of the band and the positive fit make precise placement possible, even in posterior areas with tight access.

Next, the gingival portion of the band is stabilized and sealed against the cavosurface margin of the preparation using the appropriate size. WedgeWand flexible wedge (Fig. 6)

The size of the WedgeWand® flexible wedge should be wide enough to hold the gingival portion of the matrix band sealed against the cavosurface of the preparation, while the opposite side of the wedge sits firmly against the adjacent tooth surface.

To place the wedge, the Wedge Wand is bent to 90 degrees where the wedge meets the handle. The flexible wedge can now be placed with pressure conveniently, without the use of cotton forceps, that often can be very clumsy. Once the wedge is in the correct orientation, a twist of the wand releases the wedge.

The G-Ring® forceps are then used to place the Soft Face™ 3D Ring into position. The feet of the Soft Face 3D Ring are placed on either side of the flexible wedge and the ring is released from the forceps.

The force of the 3D Ring causes a slight separation of the teeth due to periodontal ligament compression. The unique pads of the Soft Face 3D ring hug the proximal morphology of the buccal and lingual surfaces of the adjacent teeth, while at the same time creating an unbelievably precise adaptation of the sectional matrix to the tooth cavosurface margins (Fig. 7).

Once the sectional matrix is properly wedged and the Soft Face 3D Ring is in place, the restorative process can be started.

A 15-second total-etch technique, 10 seconds on enamel margins and five seconds on dentin surfaces, is performed using a 37 percent phosphoric etch.

The etchant is then rinsed off for a minimum of 15 to 20 seconds to ensure complete removal. The preparation is then air-dried and treated with AcQuaSeal desensitiser (AcQuaMed Technologies) to disinfect the cavity surface, create a moist surface for bonding and begin initial penetration of HEMA into the dentinal tubules.

A fifth generation bonding agent (Optibond Solo Plus, Kerr) is then placed on all cavity surfaces. The solvent is evaporated by spraying a gentle stream of air across the surface of the preparation. The adhesive is then light cured for 20 seconds.

The first layer of composite is placed using a flowable composite (Revolution 2, Kerr) to a thickness of about 0.5 mm.

The flowable composite will “flow” into all the irregular areas of the preparation and create an oxygen-inhibited layer to bond sub-
sequent layers of microhybrid material.

After light curing for 20 seconds, the next step is to layer in the microhybrid material. First, using a unidose delivery, the fine, white stripe ultra-fine composite (Premise, Kerr) is placed into the proximal box of the preparation. A smooth-ended condensing instrument is used to adapt the restorative material to the inside of the sectional matrix and preparation. This first increment should be no more than 2 mm thick. After light curing the first increment, the next increment should extend to the apical portion of the interproximal contact and extend across the pulpal floor.

Fig. 8 shows the restoration after completion of the enamel layer prior to matrix band removal. The Composi-Tight Matrix Forceps were used to remove the sectional matrix after removal of the flexible wedge and Soft Face 5D Ring.

The Composi-Tight™ 5D Ring reduces flash to a minimum. Finishing and polishing were accomplished using Q-Finisher, Caribide Finishing Burs (Komet USA). Typically, three grits and, correspondingly, three different burs are used to finish composite materials. With the Q-Finisher system, the blue-yellow striped bur with its unique blade configuration does the work of two burs with one. An excellent surface quality on composite and natural tooth is achieved due to the cross-cut design of the cutting instrument.

The small, pointed (H154Q-014) Q-Finisher was used to make minor occlusal adjustments on the restorative surface as needed and to smooth and refine the marginal areas of the restorative material where accessible (Fig. 9). The fine, white stripe ultra-fine finishing bur (H154UF-014) was used in the adjusted areas for precise fine finishing (Fig. 10). Komet USA Goldstein FlexiThin Mini 4 (Hu-Friedy) A #2 Keystone brush (Patterson Dental) is lightly dipped in resin and used to feather the material toward the margins and smooth the surface of the composite.

With proper occlusal and proximal form, this “invisible” direct composite restoration will service the patient for many years to come.

Once polishing is complete, the final step is to place a surface sealant (Seal and Shine, Pulpdent) to seal and protect any microscopic imperfections at the restorative marginal interface that may be left as a result of our inability to access these areas on the micron level. Remember, an explorer can “feel” a 50-micron marginal gap at best. Bacteria are 1 micron in diameter. The purpose of the Seal and Shine is to fill these areas. Figure 12 shows an occlusal view of the completed Class II composite restoration.

Fig. 12: An occlusal view of the direct MO composite restoration after application of Seal-n-Shine sealant.

Fig. 11: A fine-pointed diamond composite polisher smooths adjusted areas during polishing.

Conclusion
A technique has been described: 1) to control proximal tissue bleeding prior to matrix placement with Expa-syl (Kerr), 2) utilize a sectional matrix system (Composi-Tight 3-D Ring, Wofe-Wand, Garrison Dental Solutions) and a nano filled microhybrid composite (Premise, Kerr) to create an anatomically precise proximal surface, and 3) use the Q-Finisher, two-bur composite finishing system (Komet USA) to finish then polish with diamond composite abrasives (Komet USA), refining marginal integrity without destroying occlusal anatomical form.

The interproximal surface has been re-created with natural anatomical contour and has a predictable, elliptical contact with the adjacent tooth. With proper occlusal and proximal form, this “invisible” direct composite restoration will service the patient for many years to come.

The 98th Thomas P. Hinman Dental Meeting will take place March 25–27 in Atlanta. According to organizers, the 2010 meeting is where excellence will abound.

In fact, the Hinman meeting is known for its world-renowned reputation of excellence—bringing together the highest quality programming from the foremost authorities in the field of dentistry.

Some of the highlights at Hinman 2010 include the following:

- More than 60 leading experts in the field of dentistry will offer presentations.
- More than 25 percent of courses offer the opportunity for hands-on participation.
- New, all-day educational tracks will be offered for dental hygienists, assistants and business office personnel.
- Also new this year is Art in the Hall. Hinman and The Foundation for Hospital Art will combine forces to create murals for medical facilities in need. Meeting attendees can stop by and paint for a few minutes or stay until a mural is finished.
- Two hours on Saturday will be dedicated exhibit hall time, with no education held during this period.
- The exhibit hall will offer courses for assistants and dentists, interactive artwork and the return of the popular Hinman Eatery.
- The meeting also offers plenty of networking opportunities and social events.

Educational opportunities
This year, Hinman has designed special, full-day courses for each team member. A “Prevention Convention” for hygienists will be held on Thurs-day, a “Business Office Bonanza” and an “Assisting Extravaganza” will be held on Friday.

These special courses are offered so that each team member can get a variety of information on different topics from six of the most respected lecturers in their specific areas of expertise.

In addition, there are separate speaker “tracks,” highlighting all the speakers who might be of interest to hygienists, business office staff and assistants.

Each lecture is 50 minutes with a mid-day break for lunch and to visit the exhibit hall. These unique courses are offered at a special fee of $75 for the full day.

A variety of lunch options are available at the Hinman Eatery in the exhibit hall.

This year’s keynote session not only presents an esteemed roster of expert speakers, but also features one of Hinman’s more unique keynote speakers in recent history.

Frank W. Abagnale is one of the world’s most respected authorities on the subjects of forgery, embezzlement and securities documents. His name might sound familiar.

The movie Catch Me If You Can, starring Leonardo DiCaprio and Tom Hanks, was based on his life and book.

Robert A. Lowe, DDS, FAGD, FICD, FAGD, maintains a private practice in Charlotte, N.C. A diplomate of the American Board of Aesthetic Dentistry, Lowe lectures internationally and is chairman of Advanstar Dental Media’s continuing education advisory board. He can be reached at (704) 364-4711 or at boblowedds@aol.com.